arXiv:1502.04397v2 [math.NT] 10 Mar 2015

Fermat curves and the reciprocity law on cyclotomic units

Tomokazu Kashio*

March 11, 2015

Abstract

We define a "period ring-valued beta function" and give a reciprocity law on its special values. The proof is based on some results of Rohrlich and Coleman concerning Fermat curves. We also have the following application. Stark's conjecture implies that the exponential of the derivatives at $s = 0$ of partial zeta functions are algebraic numbers which satisfy a reciprocity law under certain conditions. It follows from Euler's formulas and properties of cyclotomic units when the base field is the rational number field. In this paper, we provide an alternative (and partial) proof by using the reciprocity law on the period ring-valued beta function. In other words, the reciprocity law given in this paper is a refinement of the reciprocity law on cyclotomic units.

1 Introduction

We first recall the rank 1 abelian Stark conjecture. Let K/F be an abelian extension of number fields with $G := \mathrm{Gal}(K/F)$. Let S be a finite set of places of F containing all infinite places and all ramifying places. Then the partial zeta function associated to $S, \sigma \in G$ is defined by

$$\zeta_S(s,\sigma) := \sum_{\mathfrak{a}\subset\mathcal{O}_F,(\mathfrak{a},S)=1,\left(\frac{K/F}{\mathfrak{a}}\right)=\sigma} N\mathfrak{a}^{-s}.$$

Here $\mathfrak{a}$ runs over all integral ideals of F relatively prime to all primes in S whose image under the Artin symbol $(\frac{K/F}{*})$ is equal to σ. This series converges when $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 1$ and has a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex s-plane.

Conjecture 1 (The rank 1 abelian Stark conjecture). *Assume that*

(Asmp) *S contains a place v which splits completely in K/F and $|S| \geq 2$.*

2010 *Mathematics subject classification(s).* 11M06, 11M35, 11R27, 11R42, 11S80, 14H45

Key words and phrases. Stark's conjecture, cyclotomic units, (p-adic) gamma function, (p-adic) beta function, Fermat curves, CM-periods, p-adic periods.

*Tokyo University of Science, `kashio_tomokazu@ma.noda.tus.ac.jp`

We choose a place w of K lying above the splitting place v and put e_K to be the number of roots of unity contained in K. Then there exists an element ε, which is called a Stark unit, satisfying the following conditions:

(Alg) $\varepsilon \in K^\times$.

(Unit) *If $|S| > 2$, then ε is a $\{v\}$-unit. Otherwise, we can write $S =: \{v, v'\}$ and ε is an S-unit satisfying that $|\varepsilon|_{w'}$ is a constant for all places w' of K lying above v'.*

(Rec) $\log |\varepsilon^\sigma|_w = -e_K \zeta'_S(0, \sigma)$ *for all $\sigma \in G$.*

(Abel) $K\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{e_K}}\right)/k$ *is an abelian extension.*

We note that (Asmp) implies $\zeta_S(0, \sigma) = 0$ for all $\sigma \in G$. We consider the case when v is a real place in this paper. Automatically we may assume that F is totally real since it is known that Conjecture 1 holds true if S contains two places splitting completely in K/F. Namely, we assume that

$$F \text{ is a totally real field and } v, w \text{ are real places.}$$

Then we can write the Stark unit explicitly as $\varepsilon = \exp(-2\zeta'_S(0, \sigma))$ (assuming it exists). Here $e_K = 2$ since K is not totally complex and we regard K as a subfield of $\mathbb{R}$ by the place w. From now on, we focus on two conditions (Alg),(Rec) of Stark's conjecture when v is a real place. These are equivalent to the following (Alg′),(Rec′).

Conjecture 2 (A part of Stark's conjecture)**.** *Let F be totally real, K its finite abelian extension, and $G := \mathrm{Gal}(K/F)$. Assume that $K \neq \mathbb{Q}$ and that*

$$\textit{there exists an embedding } K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

We regard K as a subfield of $\mathbb{R}$ by this embedding. Then we have

(Alg′) $u_F(\sigma) := \exp(-2\zeta'(0, \sigma)) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^\times$ *for $\sigma \in G$.*

(Rec′) $\tau(u_F(\sigma)) = u_F(\tau\sigma)$ *for $\sigma \in G$, $\tau \in G_F := \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/F)$.*

Here taking the minimal $S_0 :=$ { infinite places } $\cup$ { ramifying places }, we drop the symbol S_0 from partial zeta functions $\zeta(s, \sigma) := \zeta_{S_0}(s, \sigma)$.

In the case of $F = \mathbb{Q}$, Conjecture 2 (and Conjecture 1 also) follow from Euler's formulas and properties of cyclotomic units. We provide a sketch of the proof: When $F = \mathbb{Q}$, the case of $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)^+ := \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m + \zeta_m^{-1})$ with $\zeta_m := \exp(\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{m})$, $3 \leq m \in \mathbb{N}$ is essential. Any element in $G = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)^+/\mathbb{Q})$ can be expressed as $[\sigma_{\pm\frac{a}{m}} : \zeta_m + \zeta_m^{-1} \mapsto \zeta_m^a + \zeta_m^{-a}]$ with $0 < a < \frac{m}{2}$, $(a, m) = 1$. Then we have

$$u_{\mathbb{Q}}(\sigma_{\pm\frac{a}{m}}) = \left(\frac{2\pi}{\Gamma(\frac{a}{m})\Gamma(\frac{m-a}{m})}\right)^2. \tag{1}$$

We can derive the expression (1) from the following formulas (2): Let $\zeta(s,v,z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(z+vn)^{-s}$ be the Hurwitz zeta function ($z,v>0$). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(s,\sigma_{\pm\frac{a}{m}}) &= \zeta(s,m,a)+\zeta(s,m,m-a), \\ \zeta(0,m,a) &= \frac{1}{2}-\frac{a}{m}, \\ \exp\left(\zeta'(0,m,a)\right) &= \Gamma(\tfrac{a}{m})(2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}}m^{-\zeta(0,m,a)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Furthermore, we see that

$$u_{\mathbb{Q}}(\sigma_{\pm\frac{a}{m}}) = \left(2\sin(\tfrac{a}{m}\pi)\right)^2 = 2-\left(\zeta_m^a+\zeta_m^{-a}\right) \tag{3}$$

by using Euler's formulas:

$$\Gamma(z)\Gamma(1-z) = \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi z)}, \tag{4}$$

$$\sin(z) = \frac{\exp(z\sqrt{-1})-\exp(-z\sqrt{-1})}{2\sqrt{-1}}. \tag{5}$$

Then Conjecture 2 in the case of $F=\mathbb{Q}$, $K=\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)^+$ follows immediately from (3).

For any totally real field F, Shintani expressed the special values $\zeta(0,\sigma)$ and the derivative values $\zeta'(0,\sigma)$ in terms of Bernoulli polynomials and Barnes' multiple gamma functions, with some correction terms. Shintani's formulas are generalizations of formulas (2). (For detail, see [Shin] or [Yo, Theorem 3.3, Chapter II].) On the other hand, we do not have any generalization of Euler's formulas (4),(5) nor cyclotomic units for general totally real fields. Therefore the author believes that it is worthwhile to provide an alternative proof for Conjecture 2 even in the known case $F=\mathbb{Q}$. In fact, we obtain a weaker result (Corollaries 1,2 in §7)

$$\begin{aligned} & u_{\mathbb{Q}}(\sigma)\in\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \qquad (\sigma\in\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)^+/\mathbb{Q})), \\ & \tau(u_{\mathbb{Q}}(\sigma))\equiv u_{\mathbb{Q}}(\tau\sigma) \bmod \mu_\infty \qquad (\sigma\in\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)^+/\mathbb{Q}), \tau\in\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})) \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

without Euler's formulas (4),(5) nor cyclotomic units. Here we denote by μ_∞ the group of roots of unity.

The outline of this paper is structured as follows. We find that we can write a Stark unit over $\mathbb{Q}$ in terms of a product of special values of the beta function in §2. Therefore we can reduce the problem to an algebraicity property and a reciprocity law on such products. In §3, we introduce a result of Rohrlich. It relates special values of the beta function to periods of Fermat curves. Besides, we need some p-adic argument: We define a modified p-adic gamma function on $\mathbb{Q}_p$ in §4. Then we introduce a result of Coleman which expresses the absolute Frobenius action on the Fermat curves in §5. In particular, we rewrite it in terms of our p-adic gamma function. We note that when the Fermat curve has a bad reduction, the expression in Theorem 1-(ii) becomes simpler than Coleman's original formula [Co, Theorem 3.13], although the root of unity ambiguity occurs. In §6, we define p-adic periods of Fermat curves and study their basic properties. We will state the main results in §7: We define a "period ring-valued beta function" and provide a reciprocity law on its special values (Theorem 3). Moreover we derive (6) from this reciprocity law.

Remark 1. *We will provide not only an alternative proof but also a refinement. By (3), Stark's conjecture in the case of $F = \mathbb{Q}$, $v = \infty$ implies the following reciprocity law on special values of the sine function:*

$$\tau\left(\sin(\tfrac{a}{m}\pi)\right) = \pm\sin\left(\tau(\tfrac{a}{m})\pi\right) \qquad (\tau \in \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})). \tag{7}$$

Here we define the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ *on* $\mathbb{Q} \cap (0,1]$ *by identifying the set of roots of unity* μ_∞ *and* $\mathbb{Q} \cap (0,1]$, $\zeta_m^a \leftrightarrow \frac{a}{m}$. *Our main result (Theorem 3) is a refinement of this reciprocity law (7), from the sine function to "the period ring-valued beta function".*

Remark 2. *The proof of our main results is based on some formulas by Rohrlich and Coleman. Hiroyuki Yoshida formulated a conjecture [Yo, Conjecture 3.9, Chapter III] which is a generalization of Rohrlich's formula, from the rational number field to general totally real fields. Yoshida and the author also conjectured its p-adic analogue in [KY1],[KY2], which is a generalization of Coleman's formula. These conjectures are one of the motivation of providing an alternative proof of Stark's conjecture in the case of* $F = \mathbb{Q}$ *by using Rohrlich's formula and Coleman's formula.*

2 The gamma function in terms of the beta function

The beta function $B(\alpha, \beta)$ is defined by

$$B(\alpha,\beta) := \int_0^1 t^{\alpha-1}(1-t)^{\beta-1}dt$$

for $0 < \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and can be written in terms of the gamma function:

$$B(\alpha,\beta) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}.$$

Conversely, we can write special values $\Gamma(\alpha)$ at rational numbers $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$ in terms of those of the beta function by virtue of the functional equation $\Gamma(z+1) = z\Gamma(z)$. For example, to obtain $\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})$, we compute the product

$$B(\tfrac{1}{3},\tfrac{1}{3})^2 B(\tfrac{2}{3},\tfrac{2}{3}) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})^2\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})^2}{\Gamma(\frac{2}{3})^2}\frac{\Gamma(\frac{2}{3})\Gamma(\frac{2}{3})}{\Gamma(\frac{4}{3})} = 3\Gamma(\tfrac{1}{3})^3.$$

For later use, we give an explicit formula of the product $\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(1-\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0,1)$ in terms of the beta function.

Lemma 1. *We write* $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0,1)$ *as* $\alpha = \frac{a}{m}$ *with* $a, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $(a,m) = 1$, $0 < a < m$. *We take* t, m_0 *so that* $m = 2^t m_0$, $(2, m_0) = 1$. *When* $\frac{a}{m_0} \neq 1$, *we take the smallest* $f_{m_0} \in \mathbb{N}$ *satisfying* $2^{f_{m_0}} \equiv 1 \bmod m_0$. *Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}(\Gamma(\tfrac{a}{m})\Gamma(\tfrac{m-a}{m}))^{2^t(2^{f_{m_0}}-1)} &= \pm\prod_{k=1}^{t}\left(\tfrac{2^{k-1}m_0-a}{2^{k-1}m_0}B(\tfrac{a}{2^k m_0},\tfrac{a}{2^k m_0})B(\tfrac{2^k m_0-a}{2^k m_0},\tfrac{2^k m_0-a}{2^k m_0})\right)^{2^{k-1}(2^{f_{m_0}}-1)} \\ &\quad\times\prod_{l=0}^{f_{m_0}-1}\left(\tfrac{m_0-2^{l+1}a}{m_0}B(\tfrac{2^l a}{m_0},\tfrac{2^l a}{m_0})B(\tfrac{m_0-2^l a}{m_0},\tfrac{m_0-2^l a}{m_0})\right)^{2^{f_{m_0}-1-l}}.\end{aligned}$$

When $\frac{a}{m_0} = 1$ *(i.e.,* $\alpha = \frac{a}{m} = \frac{1}{2^t}$*), we have*

$$(\Gamma(\tfrac{1}{2^t})\Gamma(\tfrac{2^t-1}{2^t}))^{2^{t-1}} = B(\tfrac{1}{2},\tfrac{1}{2})\prod_{k=2}^{t}\left(\tfrac{2^{k-1}-1}{2^{k-1}}B(\tfrac{1}{2^k},\tfrac{1}{2^k})B(\tfrac{2^k-1}{2^k},\tfrac{2^k-1}{2^k})\right)^{2^{k-2}}.$$

Proof. We put $\gamma(\alpha) := \Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(1-\alpha)$, $\beta(\alpha) := (1-2\alpha)B(\alpha,\alpha)B(1-\alpha,1-\alpha)$. Then we have $\beta(\alpha) = \frac{\gamma(\alpha)^2}{\gamma(2\alpha)}$ if $\alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}$. First we assume that $2 \nmid m$. We take $f_m \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $2^{f_m} \equiv 1 \bmod m$. Then we obtain

$$\prod_{l=0}^{f_m-1}\beta(\tfrac{2^l a}{m})^{2^{f_m-1-l}} = \frac{\gamma(\frac{a}{m})^{2^{f_m}}}{\gamma(\frac{2a}{m})^{2^{f_m-1}}}\frac{\gamma(\frac{2a}{m})^{2^{f_m-1}}}{\gamma(\frac{4a}{m})^{2^{f_m-2}}}\cdots\frac{\gamma(\frac{2^{f_m-1}a}{m})^2}{\gamma(\frac{2^{f_m}a}{m})} = \pm\gamma\left(\tfrac{a}{m}\right)^{2^{f_m}-1},$$

since $\gamma(\frac{a}{m}) \bmod \{\pm 1\}$ depends only on $a \bmod m$ by $\Gamma(z+1) = z\Gamma(z)$. Next, assume that $2 \nmid a$ and write $m = 2^t m_0$ with $(2, m_0) = 1$. Then we have

$$\gamma(\tfrac{a}{m_0})\prod_{k=1}^{t}\beta(\tfrac{a}{2^k m_0})^{2^{k-1}} = \gamma(\tfrac{a}{m_0})\frac{\gamma(\frac{a}{2m_0})^2}{\gamma(\frac{a}{m_0})}\frac{\gamma(\frac{a}{4m_0})^4}{\gamma(\frac{a}{2m_0})^2}\cdots\frac{\gamma(\frac{a}{2^t m_0})^{2^t}}{\gamma(\frac{a}{2^{t-1}m_0})^{2^{t-1}}} = \gamma(\tfrac{a}{m})^{2^t}$$

if $\frac{a}{m_0} \neq 1$. Combining these, we obtain the first formula. The case of $\frac{a}{m_0} = 1$ follows from a similar but simpler argument. □

3 Periods of Fermat curves

Let F_m be the mth Fermat curve defined by the affine equation $x^m + y^m = 1$ ($3 \leq m \in \mathbb{N}$). We consider differentials of the second kind $\eta_{\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m}} := x^{i-1}y^{j-m}dx$ ($0 < i, j < m$, $i + j \neq m$). The following fact is well-known ([Gr, Theorem in Appendix by Rohrlich]). For all $\gamma \in H_1(F_m(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$, we have

$$\frac{\int_\gamma \eta_{\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m}}}{B(\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m})} \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m). \tag{8}$$

Moreover we can take $\gamma_0 \in H_1(F_m(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$ ($\gamma_0 = m\gamma_m$ with γ_m in [Ot, Proposition 4.9]) so that

$$\int_{\gamma_0}\eta_{\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m}} = B(\tfrac{i}{m},\tfrac{j}{m}). \tag{9}$$

4 p-adic gamma functions

We prepare p-adic analogues of the gamma function. Morita [Mo] constructed the p-adic gamma function $\Gamma_p\colon \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, which is continuous and characterized by $\Gamma_p(n) = (-1)^n\prod_{k=1,\ (p,k)=1}^{n-1} k$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We note that the p-adic counterpart of the formula (4) is "degenerate":

$$\Gamma_p(z)\Gamma_p(1-z) = \pm 1. \tag{10}$$

For the proof, see [GK, Lemma 2.3].

We define Γ_p on $\mathbb{Q}_p - \mathbb{Z}_p$ as follows. For simplicity, assume that p is odd. We denote Iwasawa's p-adic log function by $\log_p$. We define the p-adic exponential function $\exp_p(z)$ on $p\mathbb{Z}_p$ by the usual power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n!}$. In order to define $\exp_p(z)$ for $z \in \mathbb{Q}_p$, we choose values $\exp_p(\frac{1}{p^e}) \in \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}}^{\times} = \{z \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mid |z|_p = 1\}$ for $0 \leq e \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that

$$\Big(\exp_p(\tfrac{1}{p^{e+1}})\Big)^p = \exp_p(\tfrac{1}{p^e}). \tag{11}$$

For any $z \in \mathbb{Q}_p$, we can write $z = \frac{n}{p^e} + z_0$ with $n, e \in \mathbb{Z}$, $0 \leq e$, $0 \leq n < p^{e+1}$, $z_0 \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$. Then we define

$$\exp_p(\tfrac{n}{p^e} + z_0) := \exp_p(\tfrac{1}{p^e})^n \exp_p(z_0).$$

We write $z' = \frac{n'}{p^{e'}} + z'_0$ similarly for $z' \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ with $|z - z'|_p \leq |p|_p$. Then we have $\frac{n}{p^e} = \frac{n'}{p^{e'}}$. Therefore $\exp_p(z)$ is well-defined and continuous on $z \in \mathbb{Q}_p$. Moreover it satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} &\exp_p(z_1 + z_2) = \exp_p(z_1)\exp_p(z_2) \qquad (z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{Q}_p), \\ &\exp_p(\log_p(z)) = z^* \qquad (z \in \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times}). \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Here $z^* = z\omega(zp^{-\operatorname{ord}_p z})^{-1} p^{-\operatorname{ord}_p z}$ is defined by the usual decomposition:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times} & = & \mu_{p-1} & \times & p^{\mathbb{Z}} & \times & (1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p), \\ z & = & \omega(zp^{-\operatorname{ord}_p z}) & \times & p^{\operatorname{ord}_p z} & \times & z^* \end{array}$$

with μ_{p-1} the set of $(p-1)$st roots of unity, ω the Teichmüller character. We shall give a proof of (12): Write $z_i = \frac{n_i}{p^{e_i}} + z_{i,0}$ $(i = 1, 2)$ in a manner similar to the above. We may assume that $e_1 \leq e_2$. Then we have

$$\exp_p(z_1 + z_2) = \begin{cases} \Big(\exp_p(\frac{1}{p^{e_2}})\Big)^{n_1 p^{e_2 - e_1} + n_2} \exp_p(z_{1,0} + z_{2,0}) & (\frac{n_1}{p^{e_1}} + \frac{n_2}{p^{e_2}} < p) \\ \Big(\exp_p(\frac{1}{p^{e_2}})\Big)^{n_1 p^{e_2 - e_1} + n_2 - p^{e_2+1}} \exp_p(p + z_{1,0} + z_{2,0}) & (\frac{n_1}{p^{e_1}} + \frac{n_2}{p^{e_2}} \geq p) \end{cases}$$

by definition. Since $\exp_p$ on $p\mathbb{Z}_p$ is a group homomorphism by a property of the power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n!}$, the first equality of (12) follows from (11). The latter equality follows from the fact that $\log_p z = \log_p z^*$ and $\exp_p \circ \log_p$ is the identity map on $1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p$.

Remark 3. *"The root of unity ambiguity" occurs when we choose* $\exp_p(\frac{1}{p^e})$.

Lemma 2. *There exists a continuous function* $\Gamma_p \colon \mathbb{Q}_p - \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}}^{\times}$ *satisfying*

$$\Gamma_p(z+1) = z^*\Gamma_p(z), \qquad \Gamma_p(2z) = 2^{2z-\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma_p(z)\Gamma_p(z+\tfrac{1}{2}) \qquad (z \in \mathbb{Q}_p - \mathbb{Z}_p). \tag{13}$$

Here we put $2^{2z-\frac{1}{2}} := \exp_p((2z - \frac{1}{2})\log_p 2)$. *Moreover, such a function* Γ_p *is unique up to multiplication by a root of unity. Strictly speaking, if continuous functions* Γ_p, Γ'_p *satisfy (13), then for all* $z \in \mathbb{Q}_p - \mathbb{Z}_p$ *we have* $\Gamma_p(z) \equiv \Gamma'_p(z) \bmod \mu_\infty$.

Proof. In [Ka1], the p-adic logarithmic gamma function $L\Gamma_{p,1}(a,(a_1))$ $(a \in \mathbb{Q}_p,\ a_1 \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$ is defined as the derivative value at $s=0$ of the p-adic Hurwitz zeta function $\zeta_{p,1}(s,(a_1),a)$ ([Ka1, (5.10)]). We omit the precise definition but we write $L\Gamma_{p,1}(a,(a_1))$ in the form of [Ka1, (5.12)]. We introduce some notations and definitions: For a function $f(X)\colon \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Q}_p$, we define

$$J_X(f(X)) := \lim_{l\to\infty} \frac{1}{p^l} \sum_{n=0}^{p^l-1} f(n)$$

if it converges in $\mathbb{Q}_p$. We easily see that

$$J_X((aX+b)^n) = a^n B_n(\tfrac{b}{a}) \qquad (0 \le n \in \mathbb{Z}, a \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times, b \in \mathbb{Q}_p),$$

where $B_n(x)$ it the nth Bernoulli polynomial ([Ka1, Lemma 5.1]). For $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, $0 \neq a_1 \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$, we define the function $f_{a,a_1}(X)\colon \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Q}_p$ by

$$\begin{aligned} f_{a,a_1}(X) &:= \frac{-(a_1X+a)}{a_1}(1-\log_p(a_1X+a)) \\ &= \frac{-1}{a_1}\left((a_1X+a) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{k} \frac{k!}{l!(k-l)!} \omega(a)^{-l}(a_1X+a)^{l+1}(-1)^{k-l}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

We put

$$\begin{aligned} L\Gamma_{p,1}(a,(a_1)) &:= J_X(f_{a,a_1}(X)) \\ &= -B_1(\tfrac{a}{a_1}) - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{k} \frac{k!}{l!(k-l)!} \omega(a)^{-l} a_1^l B_{l+1}(\tfrac{a}{a_1})(-1)^{k-l}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

We see that for $c \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$

$$L\Gamma_{p,1}(ca,(ca_1)) = L\Gamma_{p,1}(a,(a_1)) + B_1(\tfrac{a}{a_1}) \log_p c \tag{15}$$

since $f_{ca,ca_1}(X) = \frac{(a_1X+a)}{a_1} \log_p c + f_{a,a_1}(X)$. The equation [Ka1, (5.12)] (in the case of $r=1$) is stated only when $|a-1|_p < 1$, but it is also valid for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ since we have $L\Gamma_{p,1}(a,(a_1)) = L\Gamma_{p,1}(\omega(a)^{-1}a,(\omega(a)^{-1}a_1))$ by (15). So the definition (14) of $L\Gamma_{p,1}$ in this paper is consistent with that in [Ka1]. The function $L\Gamma_{p,1}(a,(a_1))$ is continuous on $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, $a_1 \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$ by [Ka1, Lemma 5.4-1].

Now we define the p-adic Γ function on $\mathbb{Q}_p - \mathbb{Z}_p$. For $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, $e \in \mathbb{N}$, we put

$$\Gamma_p(\tfrac{z}{p^e}) := \exp_p(L\Gamma_{p,1}(z,(p^e))). \tag{16}$$

It is continuous since so are $\exp_p$, $L\Gamma_{p,1}$. One can derive the desired properties from the expression (14) and some properties of Bernoulli polynomials. Indeed, the well-known formulas

$$B_n(x+1) = B_n(x) + nx^{n-1}, \qquad B_n(mx) = m^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} B_n(x+\tfrac{k}{m}) \quad (m \in \mathbb{N})$$

imply that for $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, $a_1 \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&L\Gamma_{p,1}(a+a_1,(a_1)) - L\Gamma_{p,1}(a,(a_1)) \\
&= -1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{k} \frac{k!}{l!(k-l)!}(l+1)(\omega(a)^{-1}a)^l(-1)^{k-l} \right) \\
&= -1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k}(\omega(a)^{-1}a-1)^k - (-\omega(a)^{-1}a)\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(1-\omega(a)^{-1}a)^{k-1} = \log_p(a), \qquad (17) \\
&L\Gamma_{p,1}(ma,(a_1)) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} L\Gamma_{p,1}(ma+ka_1,(ma_1)) \quad (m \in \mathbb{N},\ (p,m)=1).
\end{aligned}$$

By (15) and (17), we have for $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, $e, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(p,m)=1$

$$L\Gamma_{p,1}(z+p^e,(p^e)) = \log_p z + L\Gamma_{p,1}(z,(p^e)), \tag{18}$$

$$L\Gamma_{p,1}(mz,(p^e)) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \left((\tfrac{z}{p^e} + \tfrac{k}{m} - \tfrac{1}{2}) \log_p m + L\Gamma_{p,1}(z + \tfrac{kp^e}{m}, (p^e)) \right). \tag{19}$$

Then the assertions (13) follow from (12),(16),(18) and (19) in case of $m=2$. In order to prove the uniqueness of Γ_p up to μ_∞, it suffices to show that $\log_p$ of Γ_p is unique, since the kernel of $\log_p$ is generated by μ_∞ and rational powers of p. (Note that we assumed that Γ_p takes values in $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}}^\times$.) To do this, let f_1, f_2 be continuous functions on $\mathbb{Q}_p - \mathbb{Z}_p$ satisfying $f_i(z+1) = \log_p z + f_i(z)$, $f_i(2z) = \log_p(2^{2z-\frac{1}{2}}) + f_i(z) + f_i(z+\frac{1}{2})$. Then $h(z) := f_1(z) - f_2(z)$ satisfies $h(z+1) = h(z)$, $h(2z) = h(z) + h(z+\frac{1}{2})$. Since h is continuous, $h(z+1) = h(z)$ means that $h(z+a) = h(z)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Hence $h(2z) = h(z) + h(z+\frac{1}{2}) = 2h(z)$. Write $z = \frac{z_0}{p^e}$ with $z_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, $e \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $(2,p)=1$, there exists $f, m \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $2^f = 1 + mp^e$. Then we get $2^f h(z) = h(2^f z) = h(z + mp^e z) = h(z + mz_0) = h(z)$. Therefore we obtain $f_1(z) - f_2(z) = h(z) = 0$ as desired. □

Definition 1. *We fix Γ_p on $\mathbb{Q}_p - \mathbb{Z}_p$ satisfying (13) and define the p-adic gamma function Γ_p on $\mathbb{Q}_p$ by gathering our Γ_p on $\mathbb{Q}_p - \mathbb{Z}_p$ and Morita's Γ_p on $\mathbb{Z}_p$. We define two kinds of p-adic beta functions $B(*,*), B\langle *,* \rangle : \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \to \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}}^\times$ by*

$$B_p(\alpha,\beta) := \frac{\Gamma_p(\alpha)\Gamma_p(\beta)}{\Gamma_p(\alpha+\beta)}, \quad B_p\langle \alpha,\beta \rangle := \frac{\Gamma_p(\langle\alpha\rangle)\Gamma_p(\langle\beta\rangle)}{\Gamma_p(\langle\alpha+\beta\rangle)}.$$

Here we denote the fractional part $\in (0,1]$ of $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$ by $\langle\alpha\rangle$.

Remark 4. *The functional equations (13) are p-adic analogues of classical formulas*

$$\Gamma(z+1) = z\Gamma(z), \qquad \Gamma(2z) = \frac{2^{2z-\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\Gamma(z)\Gamma(z+\tfrac{1}{2}). \tag{20}$$

We note that Artin [Ar1, Ar2] showed that the classical gamma function is also characterized by functional equations (20) and some conditions.

Remark 5. *By (19), we also obtain the multiplication formula for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(p, m) = 1$. Namely, for $z \in \mathbb{Q}_p - \mathbb{Z}_p$ we have*

$$\Gamma_p(mz) = \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} m^{z+\frac{k}{m}-\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma_p(z + \tfrac{k}{m})$$

with $m^{z+\frac{k}{m}-\frac{1}{2}} := \exp_p((z + \frac{k}{m} - \frac{1}{2}) \log_p m)$. We need some adjustments for m with $p \mid m$. In particular, one can show that for $z \in \mathbb{Q}_p$

$$\Gamma_p(pz) = \prod_{k=0}^{p-1} \Gamma_p(z + \tfrac{k}{p}) \qquad (pz \notin \mathbb{Z}_p),$$

$$\Gamma_p(pz) \equiv \prod_{k=0,\ pz+k \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times}^{p-1} \Gamma_p(z + \tfrac{k}{p}) \bmod \mu_\infty \qquad (pz \in \mathbb{Z}_p).$$

Note that the latter formula gives a relation between Morita's Γ_p on $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and our Γ_p on $\mathbb{Q}_p - \mathbb{Z}_p$. We provide a brief sketch of the proof: When $pz \notin \mathbb{Z}_p$, we can write $z = \frac{z_0}{p^e}$ with $z_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times, e \geq 2$. Then $\Gamma_p(pz) = \exp_p(L\Gamma_{p,1}(z_0, (p^{e-1})))$, $\Gamma_p(z + \frac{k}{p}) = \exp_p(L\Gamma_{p,1}(z_0 + kp^{e-1}, (p^e)))$. Therefore we only need the formula $L\Gamma_{p,1}(z_0, (p^{e-1})) = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} L\Gamma_{p,1}(z_0 + kp^{e-1}, (p^e))$. It follows form (14) similarly to the proof of (19). When $pz \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, it follows from [Ka1, Lemma 5.5] since $L\Gamma_{p,1}(a, (1))$ ($a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$) in [Ka1] was defined as $\sum_{k=0,\ pz+k \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times}^{p-1} L\Gamma_{p,1}(a + k, (p))$.

Coleman [Co] also generalized Morita's Γ_p to a continuous function on $\mathbb{Q}_p$. We denote it by Γ_{col} in this paper. It is characterized by the following conditions ([Co, the sentence containing the equation (2.2)]):

$$\Gamma_{\mathrm{col}}(z + 1) = z^* \Gamma_{\mathrm{col}}(z) \qquad (z \in \mathbb{Q}_p - \mathbb{Z}_p),$$

$$\Gamma_{\mathrm{col}}(z) = 1 \qquad (z \in \mathbb{Z}[\tfrac{1}{p}] \cap [0, 1)).$$

For later use, we compare Coleman's Γ_{col} and our Γ_p. For $z \in \mathbb{Q}_p - \mathbb{Z}_p$, we write $z = z_p + z_0$ with $z_p \in \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}] \cap (0, 1)$, $z_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then we have for each $\sharp = p, \mathrm{col}$

$$\Gamma_\sharp(z) = \Gamma_\sharp(z_p + z_0) = \lim_{\mathbb{N} \ni n \to z_0} \Gamma_\sharp(z_p + n) = \Gamma_\sharp(z_p) \lim_{\mathbb{N} \ni n \to z_0} \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} (z_p + l)^*.$$

Hence by $\Gamma_{\mathrm{col}}(z_p) = 1$, we have

$$\Gamma_p(z) = \Gamma_{\mathrm{col}}(z) \Gamma_p(z_p) \qquad (z \in \mathbb{Q}_p - \mathbb{Z}_p). \tag{21}$$

5 The Frobenius matrices of Fermat curves

In this section, we recall Coleman's results in [Co]. In [Co], the semi-linear action ρ_{cris} of the (crystalline) Weil group on the de Rham cohomology of a curve with arboreal reduction is introduced. We express this action on Fermat curves in terms of the comparison of some cohomologies for later use. Let $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\mathrm{ur}}$ be the maximal unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and $W_p \subset \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ the Weil group. Then for all $\tau \in W_p$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\tau|_{\mathbb{Q}_p^{\mathrm{ur}}}$ is the nth power of the Frobenius automorphism. We put $\deg \tau := n$.

Let J_m be the Jacobian variety of the mth Fermat curve F_m. Even if F_m dose not have good reduction at p (i.e., the case of $p \mid m$), J_m has potentially good reduction since it has CM. Hence there exist a finite extension field K of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and a smooth model $\mathcal{J}_m$ over $\mathcal{O}_K$ with the canonical isomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(F_m, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} K \cong \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathcal{J}_m \times_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathbb{F}_K, W_K) \otimes_{W_K} K. \tag{22}$$

Here we denote by $\mathcal{O}_K, \mathbb{F}_K$ and W_K the ring of integers, its residue field and the Witt ring over $\mathbb{F}_K$ respectively. We may assume K is normal over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. We denote by Φ_{cris} the action of the absolute Frobenius on $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathcal{J}_m \times_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathbb{F}_K, W_K)$. Then for $\tau \in W_p$ we may consider the action $\Phi_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\deg \tau} \otimes \tau$ on the right hand side of (22). We denote by Φ_τ the corresponding action on $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(F_m, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} K$. Our Φ_τ is equal to $\rho_{\mathrm{cris}}(\tau)$ in [Co]. Therefore it is also equal to $\Phi^*(\tau)$ in [Co] on $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(F_m, \mathbb{Q})$. We need a few more notations in [Co]: Let $i, j, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $0 < i, j < m$, $i + j \neq m$. We put $\varepsilon(\frac{i}{m}, \frac{j}{m}) := \langle \frac{i}{m} \rangle + \langle \frac{j}{m} \rangle - \langle \frac{i}{m} + \frac{j}{m} \rangle$. That is

$$\langle \tfrac{i}{m} + \tfrac{j}{m} \rangle = \begin{cases} \frac{i}{m} + \frac{j}{m} & (i + j < m) \\ \frac{i}{m} + \frac{j}{m} - 1 & (i + j > m) \end{cases}, \qquad \varepsilon(\tfrac{i}{m}, \tfrac{j}{m}) = \begin{cases} 0 & (i + j < m) \\ 1 & (i + j > m) \end{cases}.$$

The element $v_{m,r,s} \in \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(F_m, \mathbb{Q})$ in [Co] is the class of

$$m \langle \tfrac{i}{m} + \tfrac{j}{m} \rangle^{\varepsilon(\frac{i}{m}, \frac{j}{m})} \eta_{\frac{i}{m}, \frac{j}{m}} = \begin{cases} m \eta_{\frac{i}{m}, \frac{j}{m}} & (i + j < m) \\ (i + j - m) \eta_{\frac{i}{m}, \frac{j}{m}} & (i + j > m) \end{cases} \tag{23}$$

for i, j with $r = \frac{i}{m}$, $s = \frac{j}{m}$. Coleman calculated $\Phi^*(\tau)(v_{m,r,s})$ explicitly in [Co] as follows. We recall that we define the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ on $\mathbb{Q} \cap (0, 1]$ by identifying $\mathbb{Q} \cap (0, 1] = \mu_\infty$, $\frac{a}{m} \leftrightarrow \zeta_m^a$.

Theorem 1 ([Co, Theorems 1.7, 3.13]). *By abuse of notation, we write $\eta_{\frac{i}{m}, \frac{j}{m}}$ for its class in $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(F_m, \mathbb{Q})$ ($0 < i, j < m$, $i + j \neq m$). Then for $\tau \in W_p$ we can write*

$$\Phi_\tau(\eta_{\frac{i}{m}, \frac{j}{m}}) = \gamma(\tau, \tfrac{i}{m}, \tfrac{j}{m}) \eta_{\tau(\frac{i}{m}), \tau(\frac{j}{m})}$$

with $\gamma(\tau, \frac{i}{m}, \frac{j}{m}) \in \mathbb{Q}_p$. Moreover the values $\gamma(\tau, \frac{i}{m}, \frac{j}{m})$ satisfy the following conditions.

(i) *Assume that $(p, m) = 1$. Then we have for $\tau \in W_p$ with $\deg \tau = 1$*

$$\gamma(\tau, \tfrac{i}{m}, \tfrac{j}{m}) = \frac{p^{1 - \varepsilon(\frac{i}{m}, \frac{j}{m})}}{\langle \frac{i}{m} + \frac{j}{m} \rangle^{\varepsilon(\frac{i}{m}, \frac{j}{m})}} \frac{(-1)^{\varepsilon(\tau(\frac{i}{m}), \tau(\frac{j}{m}))} \langle \tau(\frac{i}{m}) + \tau(\frac{j}{m}) \rangle^{\varepsilon(\tau(\frac{i}{m}), \tau(\frac{j}{m}))}}{B_p \langle \tau(\frac{i}{m}), \tau(\frac{j}{m}) \rangle}.$$

(ii) *Assume that $p > 2$, $p \mid m$, $(p, ij(i + j)) = 1$. Then we have for $\tau \in W_p$ with $\deg \tau = 1$*

$$\gamma(\tau, \tfrac{i}{m}, \tfrac{j}{m}) \equiv p^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{B_p(\frac{i}{m}, \frac{j}{m})}{B_p(\tau(\frac{i}{m}), \tau(\frac{j}{m}))} \bmod \mu_\infty.$$

Proof. Our $\gamma(\tau, \frac{i}{m}, \frac{j}{m})$ is Coleman's $\frac{\langle \tau(\frac{i}{m})+\tau(\frac{j}{m})\rangle^{\varepsilon(\tau(\frac{i}{m}),\tau(\frac{j}{m}))}}{\langle \frac{i}{m}+\frac{j}{m}\rangle^{\varepsilon(\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m})}}\beta_\tau(\tau(\frac{i}{m}),\tau(\frac{j}{m}))$ by (23), [Co, Proposition 1.4]. Therefore the former assertion follows from [Co, Theorem 1.7] immediately. In the latter case, [Co, Proposition 3.12, Theorem 3.13] state

$$\begin{aligned}&\gamma(\tau, \tau^{-1}(\tfrac{i}{m}), \tau^{-1}(\tfrac{j}{m}))\\ &\equiv \frac{\langle \frac{i}{m}+\frac{j}{m}\rangle^{\varepsilon(\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m})}}{\langle \tau^{-1}(\frac{i}{m})+\tau^{-1}(\frac{j}{m})\rangle^{\varepsilon(\tau^{-1}(\frac{i}{m}),\tau^{-1}(\frac{j}{m}))}} \frac{D_\tau(\frac{i}{m})D_\tau(\frac{j}{m})\Gamma_\tau(\frac{i}{m})\Gamma_\tau(\frac{j}{m})}{D_\tau(\langle\frac{i}{m}+\frac{j}{m}\rangle)\Gamma_\tau(\langle\frac{i}{m}+\frac{j}{m}\rangle)} \bmod \mu_\infty.\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

The notations are as follows: Coleman defined functions $D_\tau, A_\tau, \Gamma_\tau$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} D_\tau(z) &\equiv \prod_{k=1}^{f}(A_\tau((z/2^k)_p)^{\frac{2^{k-1}}{2^f-1}} \bmod \mu_\infty,\\ A_\tau(z) &= (2^{-\tau^{-1}((2z)_p)\tau+(2z)_p})^* \frac{\Gamma_{\mathrm{col}}(z_p+\frac{(-1)^{(2z)_p}}{2})}{\Gamma_{\mathrm{col}}(\tau^{-1}(z_p)+\frac{(-1)^{\tau^{-1}((2z)_p)}}{2})},\\ \Gamma_\tau(z) &= -p\Gamma_p(\tfrac{1}{2})(-p)^{\frac{\tau-p}{2(p-1)}}(2z)^{\frac{\tau-1}{2}}\kappa(z)^{\tau^{-1}(z)\tau-z}\frac{\Gamma_{\mathrm{col}}(\tau^{-1}(z))}{\Gamma_{\mathrm{col}}(z)}\end{aligned}$$

for $z \in \mathbb{Q}^\times \cap (0,1)$ with $\mathrm{ord}_p\, z < 0$ ([Co, p179, p182]). Here f is the order of 2 in $(\mathbb{Z}_p/z^{-1}\mathbb{Z}_p)^\times$, $\kappa(z) := \frac{z}{z^*}$ and z_p is the unique element in $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}] \cap (0,1]$ satisfying $z \equiv z_p \bmod \mathbb{Z}_p$. We define $x^{\frac{r}{m}}$ with $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p, r \in \mathbb{Z}[W_p], m \in \mathbb{N}$ as $(x^{\frac{1}{m}})^r$ by taking an mth root $x^{\frac{1}{m}} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ of x. In order to define $(-1)^{z_p}$, we regard z_p as its image under the composite map $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}] \subset \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_2/2\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{0,1\}$. We put

$$\begin{aligned} D(z) &:= \prod_{k=1}^{f} A((z/2^k)_p)^{\frac{2^{k-1}}{2^f-1}} \bmod \mu_\infty,\\ A(z) &:= 2^{(2z)_p}\Gamma_{\mathrm{col}}(z_p+\tfrac{(-1)^{(2z)_p}}{2}) \bmod \mu_\infty.\end{aligned}$$

Then by (21) we have

$$A(z) \equiv 2^{(2z)_p}\frac{\Gamma_p(z_p+\frac{(-1)^{(2z)_p}}{2})}{\Gamma_p(z_p)} \bmod \mu_\infty.$$

Since $\Gamma_p(2(z_p)) = 2^{2(z_p)-\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma_p(z_p)\Gamma_p(z_p+\frac{1}{2})$ by (13), we get

$$A(z) \equiv 2^{\frac{1}{2}+(2z)_p-2(z_p)}\frac{\Gamma_p(z_p+\frac{(-1)^{(2z)_p}}{2})\Gamma_p(2(z_p))}{\Gamma_p(z_p)^2\Gamma_p(z_p+\frac{1}{2})} \bmod \mu_\infty.$$

If $2(z_p) = (2z)_p$ then $(-1)^{(2z)_p} = 1$ so we have

$$A(z) \equiv 2^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{\Gamma_p((2z)_p)}{\Gamma_p(z_p)^2} \bmod \mu_\infty. \tag{25}$$

If $2(z_p) = (2z)_p + 1$ then $(-1)^{(2z)_p} = -1$ so we get

$$A(z) \equiv 2^{\frac{1}{2}-1} \frac{\Gamma_p(z_p - \frac{1}{2})\Gamma_p((2z)_p + 1)}{\Gamma_p(z_p)^2 \Gamma_p(z_p + \frac{1}{2})} \bmod \mu_\infty.$$

Hence the formula (25) is also valid in this case since $\Gamma_p((2z)_p + 1) = ((2z)_p)^* \Gamma_p((2z)_p) = (2(z_p) - 1)^* \Gamma_p((2z)_p)$, $\Gamma_p(z_p + \frac{1}{2}) = (z_p - \frac{1}{2})^* \Gamma_p(z_p - \frac{1}{2})$. By (21),(25) and $(z/2^f)_p = z_p$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} D(z) &\equiv \left(2^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma_p(z_p)}{\Gamma_p((z/2)_p)^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2^f-1}} \left(2^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma_p((z/2)_p)}{\Gamma_p((z/2^2)_p)^2}\right)^{\frac{2}{2^f-1}} \cdots \left(2^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma_p((z/2^{f-1})_p)}{\Gamma_p((z/2^f)_p)^2}\right)^{\frac{2^{f-1}}{2^f-1}} \\ &\equiv \frac{2^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma_p(z_p)} \equiv \frac{2^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma_{\mathrm{col}}(z)}{\Gamma_p(z)} \bmod \mu_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

We easily see that $D_\tau(z) \equiv \frac{D(z)}{D(\tau^{-1}(z))}$, $\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2}) \equiv 1$ (by (10)), $(-p)^{\frac{\tau-p}{2(p-1)}} \equiv p^{\frac{-1}{2}}$, $(2z)^{\frac{\tau-1}{2}} \equiv 1$, $\kappa(z)^{\tau^{-1}(z)\tau - z} \equiv \frac{p^{\tau^{-1}(z)\mathrm{ord}_p z}}{p^{z\mathrm{ord}_p z}} \bmod \mu_\infty$. Therefore we can write

$$D_\tau(z)\Gamma_\tau(z) \equiv p^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{p^{\tau^{-1}(z)\mathrm{ord}_p z}}{p^{z\mathrm{ord}_p z}} \frac{\Gamma_p(\tau^{-1}(z))}{\Gamma_p(z)} \bmod \mu_\infty.$$

By substituting this into (24), we get the desired result

$$\gamma(\tau, \tau^{-1}(\tfrac{i}{m}), \tau^{-1}(\tfrac{j}{m})) \equiv p^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma_p(\tau^{-1}(\frac{i}{m}))}{\Gamma_p(\frac{i}{m})} \frac{\Gamma_p(\tau^{-1}(\frac{j}{m}))}{\Gamma_p(\frac{j}{m})} \frac{\Gamma_p(\frac{i}{m} + \frac{j}{m})}{\Gamma_p(\tau^{-1}(\frac{i}{m}) + \tau^{-1}(\frac{j}{m}))} \bmod \mu_\infty$$

since $\mathrm{ord}_p \frac{i}{m} = \mathrm{ord}_p \frac{j}{m} = \mathrm{ord}_p \langle \frac{i}{m} + \frac{j}{m} \rangle$, $\Gamma_p(\frac{i}{m} + \frac{j}{m}) = (\langle \frac{i}{m} + \frac{j}{m} \rangle^*)^{\varepsilon(\frac{i}{m}, \frac{j}{m})} \Gamma_p(\langle \frac{i}{m} + \frac{j}{m} \rangle) \equiv \frac{\langle \frac{i}{m} + \frac{j}{m} \rangle^{\varepsilon(\frac{i}{m}, \frac{j}{m})}}{p^{\varepsilon(\frac{i}{m}, \frac{j}{m}) \mathrm{ord}_p \langle \frac{i}{m} + \frac{j}{m} \rangle}} \Gamma_p(\langle \frac{i}{m} + \frac{j}{m} \rangle)$. □

6 p-adic periods of Fermat curves

We rewrite Theorem 1 by using p-adic periods of Fermat curves. Let $B_{\mathrm{cris}}, B_{\mathrm{dR}}$ be the p-adic period rings introduced by Fontaine. We may consider $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}, B_{\mathrm{cris}}$ are subrings of B_{dR}. Then for any subfield K of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, the composite ring $B_{\mathrm{cris}}K \subset B_{\mathrm{dR}}$ is well-defined. We denote by Φ_{cris} the action of the absolute Frobenius on B_{cris} and define actions Φ_τ ($\tau \in W_p$) on the ring $B_{\mathrm{cris}}K$ by

$$\Phi_\tau := \Phi_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\deg \tau} \otimes \tau. \tag{26}$$

Let K, $\mathcal{J}_m$ be as in (22): K is a finite normal extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, $\mathcal{J}_m$ is a smooth model over $\mathcal{O}_K$ of the Jacobian variety J_m of F_m. For simplicity, we fix embeddings $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. The following results are well-known.

- There exists a canonical isomorphism between the singular cohomology group and the p-adic étale cohomology group:

$$\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{B}}(F_m(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p \cong \mathrm{H}^1_{p,\text{ét}}(F_m \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathbb{Q}_p). \tag{27}$$

- There exists a canonical isomorphism between the p-adic étale cohomology group and the de Rham cohomology group:

$$\mathrm{H}^1_{p,\text{ét}}(F_m \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\text{cris}}K \cong \mathrm{H}^1_{\text{dR}}(F_m, K) \otimes_K B_{\text{cris}}K, \tag{28}$$

  which is compatible with the action of the Weil group W_p. The element $\tau \in W_p$ acts on the left hand side by $1 \otimes \Phi_\tau$, on the right hand side by $\Phi_{\text{cris}}^{\deg \tau} \otimes \Phi_\tau$. It follows from the canonical isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1_{p,\text{ét}}(\mathcal{J}_m \times_{\mathcal{O}_K} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\text{cris}} & \cong & \mathrm{H}^1_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{J}_m \times_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathbb{F}_K, W_K) \otimes_{W_K} B_{\text{cris}} \\ 1 \otimes \Phi_{\text{cris}} & \leftrightarrow & \Phi_{\text{cris}} \otimes \Phi_{\text{cris}} \end{array}$$

  by identifying $\mathrm{H}^1_{\text{dR}}(F_m, K) = \mathrm{H}^1_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{J}_m \times_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathbb{F}_K, W_K) \otimes_{W_K} K$, $H^1_{p,\text{ét}}(\mathcal{J}_m \times_{\mathcal{O}_K} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) = \mathrm{H}^1_{p,\text{ét}}(F_m \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$. We note that the isomorphism (28) is a restriction of the following canonical isomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}^1_{p,\text{ét}}(F_m \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\text{dR}} \cong \mathrm{H}^1_{\text{dR}}(F_m, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} B_{\text{dR}}. \tag{29}$$

- The singular cohomology group is the dual of singular homology group. Namely, we have the non-degenerate pairing:

$$\mathrm{H}_1(F_m(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q}) \times \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{B}}(F_m(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q}) \to \mathbb{Q}. \tag{30}$$

Combining (27), (28) and (30), we get a period ring valued pairing

$$\mathrm{H}_1(F_m(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q}) \times \mathrm{H}^1_{\text{dR}}(F_m, \mathbb{Q}) \to B_{\text{cris}}K. \tag{31}$$

We denote by $\int_{p,\gamma} \eta$ the image of (γ, η) under the map (31). This is a p-adic counterpart of the usual period $\int_\gamma \eta$, $\mathrm{H}_1(F_m(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q}) \times \mathrm{H}^1_{\text{dR}}(F_m, \mathbb{Q}) \to \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 2. *Let p be a prime, i, j, m integers satisfying $0 < i, j < m$, $i + j \neq m$.*

(i) *Assume that $(p, m) = 1$. Then we have*

$$\Phi_\tau\left(\int_{p,\gamma} \eta_{\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m}}\right) = \left(\prod_{k=1}^{\deg \tau} \frac{(-1)^{\varepsilon(\langle\frac{p^k i}{m}\rangle, \langle\frac{p^k j}{m}\rangle)} p^{1-\varepsilon(\langle\frac{p^{k-1} i}{m}\rangle, \langle\frac{p^{k-1} j}{m}\rangle)}}{B_p\langle\frac{p^k i}{m}, \frac{p^k j}{m}\rangle}\right) \\ \times \frac{\langle\tau(\frac{i}{m}) + \tau(\frac{j}{m})\rangle^{\varepsilon(\tau(\frac{i}{m}), \tau(\frac{j}{m}))}}{\langle\frac{i}{m} + \frac{j}{m}\rangle^{\varepsilon(\frac{i}{m}, \frac{j}{m})}} \int_{p,\gamma} \eta_{\tau(\frac{i}{m}), \tau(\frac{j}{m})}$$

for $\gamma \in \mathrm{H}_1(F_m(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$, $\tau \in W_p$ with $\deg \tau \geq 0$.

(ii) *Assume that $p > 2$, $p \mid m$, $(p, ij(i + j)) = 1$. Then we have*

$$\Phi_\tau\left(\frac{\int_{p,\gamma} \eta_{\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m}}}{B_p(\frac{i}{m}, \frac{j}{m})}\right) \equiv p^{\frac{\deg \tau}{2}} \frac{\int_{p,\gamma} \eta_{\tau(\frac{i}{m}),\tau(\frac{j}{m})}}{B_p(\tau(\frac{i}{m}), \tau(\frac{j}{m}))} \bmod \mu_\infty$$

for $\gamma \in \mathrm{H}_1(F_m(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$, $\tau \in W_p$.

Proof. Since the isomorphism (28) is compatible with the action of W_p and W_p acts trivially on $\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{B}}(F_m(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p \cong \mathrm{H}^1_{p,\text{ét}}(F_m \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$, the assertion follows from Theorem 1 immediately. (Note that $\tau(\frac{i}{m}) = \langle\frac{p^{\deg \tau} i}{m}\rangle$ in the former case, and that $\tau(\Gamma_p(\frac{i}{m})) \equiv \Gamma_p(\frac{i}{m}) \bmod \mu_\infty$ since $\Gamma_p(\frac{i}{m})^{p^N} \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ for large enough N in the latter case.) $\square$

7 A reciprocity law on a $B_{\mathrm{cris}}\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$-valued beta function

We will formulate a "reciprocity law on a period ring-valued beta function" which is a refinement of (7). We first define $B_{\mathrm{cris}}\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$-valued beta function by

$$\mathfrak{B}(\tfrac{i}{m},\tfrac{j}{m}) := \begin{cases} \dfrac{B(\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m})}{\int_\gamma \eta_{\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m}}} \langle \frac{i}{m}+\frac{j}{m}\rangle^{\varepsilon(\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m})} \int_{p,\gamma} \eta_{\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m}} & ((p,m)=1), \\ \dfrac{B(\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m})}{\int_\gamma \eta_{\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m}}} \dfrac{\int_{p,\gamma} \eta_{\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m}}}{B_p(\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m})} & (p\geq 2,\ p \mid m,\ (p, ij(i+j))=1). \end{cases}$$

for $i,j\in\mathbb{N}$ with $i,j<m$, $i+j\neq m$ and $\gamma \in \mathrm{H}_1(F_m(\mathbb{C}),\mathbb{Q})$ with $\int_\gamma \eta_{\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m}} \neq 0$.

Lemma 3. *The value* $\mathfrak{B}(\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m}) \in B_{\mathrm{cris}}\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ *does not depend on the choice of* m, γ *whenever* $\int_\gamma \eta_{\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m}} \neq 0$.

Proof. Put $G_m := \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. Then $H_1(F_m(\mathbb{C}),\mathbb{Q})$ is the rank one $\mathbb{Q}[G_m]$-module in the sense of [Ot, Proposition 4.9, (i)]. Let $\gamma_m \in \mathrm{H}_1(F_m(\mathbb{C}),\mathbb{Q})$ be the generator. Then we can write any element in $\mathrm{H}_1(F_m(\mathbb{C}),\mathbb{Q})$ as $\rho\gamma_m$ with $\rho \in \mathbb{Q}[G_m]$. Hence the dependences of $\int_\gamma \eta_{\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m}}$, $\int_{p,\gamma} \eta_{\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m}}$ on γ are canceled out since $\eta_{\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m}}$ is a simultaneous eigenvector for any $g \in G_m$. In order to see the dependence on m, we consider the canonical map $f\colon F_{nm} \to F_m$, $(x,y)\mapsto (x^n,y^n)$ $(2\leq n\in\mathbb{N})$. Then we have $f^*\eta_{\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m}} = n\eta_{\frac{ni}{nm},\frac{nj}{nm}}$, so the assertion follows. □

By (9), we can take γ_0 so that $B(\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m}) = \int_{\gamma_0} \eta_{\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m}}$. Then we have $\mathfrak{B}(\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m}) = \langle \frac{i}{m}+\frac{j}{m}\rangle^{\varepsilon(\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m})} \int_{p,\gamma_0} \eta_{\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m}}$ (resp. $\frac{\int_{p,\gamma_0} \eta_{\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m}}}{B_p(\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m})}$) if $(p,m)=1$ (resp. $p\mid m$). Therefore Theorem 2 implies the following reciprocity law on our beta function.

Theorem 3. *Let* p *be a prime,* i,j,m *integers satisfying* $0<i,j<m$, $i+j\neq m$, *and* $\tau \in W_p$.

(i) *Assume that* $(p,m)=1$, $\deg\tau \geq 0$. *Then we have*

$$\Phi_\tau(\mathfrak{B}(\tfrac{i}{m},\tfrac{j}{m})) = \left(\prod_{k=1}^{\deg\tau} \frac{(-1)^{\varepsilon(\langle\frac{p^k i}{m}\rangle,\langle\frac{p^k j}{m}\rangle)} p^{1-\varepsilon(\langle\frac{p^{k-1} i}{m}\rangle,\langle\frac{p^{k-1} j}{m}\rangle)}}{B_p\langle\frac{p^k i}{m},\frac{p^k j}{m}\rangle}\right) \mathfrak{B}(\tau(\tfrac{i}{m}),\tau(\tfrac{j}{m})).$$

(ii) *Assume that* $p>2$, $p\mid m$, $(p,ij(i+j))=1$. *Then we have*

$$\Phi_\tau(\mathfrak{B}(\tfrac{i}{m},\tfrac{j}{m})) \equiv p^{\frac{\deg\tau}{2}} \mathfrak{B}(\tau(\tfrac{i}{m}),\tau(\tfrac{j}{m})) \bmod \mu_\infty.$$

We prove the key formula (32) which states that the product $\mathfrak{B}(\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m})\mathfrak{B}(\frac{m-i}{m},\frac{m-j}{m})$ of our beta function is essentially equal to the product $B(\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m})B(\frac{m-i}{m},\frac{m-j}{m})$ of the classical beta function. To do this, we prepare some Lemmas.

Lemma 4. (i) *Assume that* $(p,m)=1$. *Then we have*

$$B_p\langle\tfrac{i}{m},\tfrac{j}{m}\rangle B_p\langle\tfrac{m-i}{m},\tfrac{m-j}{m}\rangle = \pm 1.$$

(ii) *Assume $p > 2$, $p \mid m$, $(p, ij(i+j)) = 1$. Then we have*

$$B_p(\tfrac{i}{m}, \tfrac{j}{m}) B_p(\tfrac{m-i}{m}, \tfrac{m-j}{m}) \equiv (\tfrac{m}{m-i-j})^* \bmod \mu_\infty.$$

Proof. The first assertion follows from (10). In the case of $\frac{i}{m}, \frac{j}{m} \in \mathbb{Q}_p - \mathbb{Z}_p$, we have

$$B_p(\tfrac{i}{m}, \tfrac{j}{m}) B_p(\tfrac{m-i}{m}, \tfrac{m-j}{m}) = \frac{\Gamma_p(\frac{i}{m})\Gamma_p(\frac{j}{m})\Gamma_p(\frac{m-i}{m})\Gamma_p(\frac{m-j}{m})}{\Gamma_p(\frac{i+j}{m})\Gamma_p(\frac{m-i-j}{m})} (\tfrac{m}{m-i-j})^*.$$

by (13). Therefore it suffices to show that $\Gamma_p(\alpha)\Gamma_p(1-\alpha) \equiv 1 \bmod \mu_\infty$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}_p - \mathbb{Z}_p$, which is a generalization of (10). It reduces to the formula

$$L\Gamma_p(a, (a_1)) + L\Gamma_p(a_1 - a, (a_1)) = 0$$

for $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, $a_1 \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$ by (16). This formula follows from a property of Bernoulli polynomials: $B_n(1-x) = (-1)^n B_n(x)$ $(0 \leq n \in \mathbb{Z})$. In fact, by (14) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & L\Gamma_{p,1}(a, (a_1)) + L\Gamma_p(a_1 - a, (a_1)) \\ & = -\Big(B_1(\tfrac{a}{a_1}) + B_1(1 - \tfrac{a}{a_1})\Big) \\ & \quad - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k} \sum_{l=0}^{k} \frac{k!}{l!(k-l)!} a_1^l \Big(\omega(a)^{-l} B_{l+1}(\tfrac{a}{a_1}) + (\omega(a_1 - a))^{-l} B_{l+1}(1 - \tfrac{a}{a_1})\Big) (-1)^{k-l}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\omega(a_1 - a) = -\omega(a)$, we have $\omega(a)^{-l} B_{l+1}(\frac{a}{a_1}) + (\omega(a_1 - a))^{-l} B_{l+1}(1 - \frac{a}{a_1}) = 0$. Then the assertion is clear. ☐

Lemma 5. *The ratio $\frac{\int_{p,\gamma_1} \eta_{\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m}} \int_{p,\gamma_2} \eta_{\frac{m-i}{m},\frac{m-j}{m}}}{\int_{\gamma_1} \eta_{\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m}} \int_{\gamma_2} \eta_{\frac{m-i}{m},\frac{m-j}{m}}}$ does not depend on $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, i, j, m$ whenever $\int_{\gamma_1} \eta_{\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m}}, \int_{\gamma_2} \eta_{\frac{m-i}{m},\frac{m-j}{m}} \neq 0$. To be precise, there exists a constant $(2\pi i)_p \in B_{\mathrm{dR}}^\times$, which is a p-adic counterpart of $2\pi i$, satisfying*

$$\frac{\int_{\gamma_1} \eta_{\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m}} \int_{\gamma_2} \eta_{\frac{m-i}{m},\frac{m-j}{m}}}{2\pi i} = \frac{\int_{p,\gamma_1} \eta_{\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m}} \int_{p,\gamma_2} \eta_{\frac{m-i}{m},\frac{m-j}{m}}}{(2\pi i)_p} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$$

for all i, j, m with $0 < i, j < m$, $i + j \neq m$ and for all $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathrm{H}_1(F_m(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$. Here we define $(2\pi i)_p$ as follows. Taking the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1$ $(= F_1 : x + y = 1)$ and the basis $c_b \in \mathrm{H}_2(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{Q})$, $c_{dr} \in \mathrm{H}^2_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{Q})$, we put

$$(2\pi i)_p := \frac{(2\pi i) \int_{p,c_b} c_{dr}}{\int_{c_b} c_{dr}},$$

where $\int \colon \mathrm{H}_2(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{Q}) \times \mathrm{H}^2_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{Q}) \to \mathbb{C}$, $\int_p \colon \mathrm{H}_2(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{Q}) \times \mathrm{H}^2_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{Q}) \to B_{\mathrm{cris}}$ are given similarly to (31). In particular, we see that $(2\pi i)_p \in B_{\mathrm{cris}}$ and that $\Phi_{\mathrm{cris}}((2\pi i)_p) = p(2\pi i)_p$ since $H^2_{p,\acute{e}t}(\mathbb{P}^1 \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \cong H^1_{p,\acute{e}t}(\mathbb{G}_m \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \cong$ the Lefschetz motive $\mathbb{Q}_p(-1)$.

Proof. Let $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathrm{H}_1(F_m(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$ satisfy $\int_{\gamma_1} \eta_{\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m}}, \int_{\gamma_2} \eta_{\frac{m-i}{m},\frac{m-j}{m}} \neq 0$. First we note that we can write via the de Rham isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{B}}(F_m(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)} \mathbb{C} & \cong & \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(F_m, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \\ \gamma_1^* \otimes \int_{\gamma_1} \eta_{\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m}} & \leftrightarrow & \eta_{\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m}} \otimes 1 \end{array}$$

with an element $\gamma_1^* \in \mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{B}}(F_m(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m))$. (By the complex multiplication, we can take a tentative element γ_1^* so that $\gamma_1^* \otimes c \leftrightarrow \eta_{\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m}} \otimes 1$ with $c \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. Then we normalize it as $\frac{\gamma_1^*}{(\gamma_1, \gamma_1^*)}$ by using the paring (γ_1, γ_1^*) of (30).) We take γ_2^* in the same manner. Since the de Rham isomorphism is a ring isomorphism under cup products, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{H}^2_B(F_m(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)} \mathbb{C} & \cong & \mathrm{H}^2_{\mathrm{dR}}(F_m, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \\ (\gamma_1^* \cup \gamma_2^*) \otimes (\int_{\gamma_1} \eta_{\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m}} \int_{\gamma_2} \eta_{\frac{m-i}{m},\frac{m-j}{m}}) & \leftrightarrow & (\eta_{\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m}} \cup \eta_{\frac{m-i}{m},\frac{m-j}{m}}) \otimes 1. \end{array}$$

Similarly we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{H}^2_B(F_m(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)} B_{\mathrm{dR}} & \cong & \mathrm{H}^2_{\mathrm{dR}}(F_m, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} B_{\mathrm{dR}} \\ (\gamma_1^* \cup \gamma_2^*) \otimes (\int_{p,\gamma_1} \eta_{\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m}} \int_{p,\gamma_2} \eta_{\frac{m-i}{m},\frac{m-j}{m}}) & \leftrightarrow & (\eta_{\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m}} \cup \eta_{\frac{m-i}{m},\frac{m-j}{m}}) \otimes 1, \end{array}$$

since (27), (29) are also ring isomorphisms. We note that $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathrm{H}^2_{\mathrm{dR}}(F_m, \mathbb{Q}) = 1$ and that the canonical map $\iota_m \colon F_m \to F_1$, $(x, y) \mapsto (x^m, y^m)$ induces isomorphisms $\mathrm{H}^2_*(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathrm{H}^2_*(F_m, \mathbb{Q})$ $(* = \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{dR})$. Hence it suffices to show that the cup product $\eta_{\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m}} \cup \eta_{\frac{m-i}{m},\frac{m-j}{m}} \neq 0$. In the following of this proof, we compute $\eta_{\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m}} \cup \eta_{\frac{m-i}{m},\frac{m-j}{m}}$ directly by using Čech cohomology. We take the covering $U_1^{(m)}, U_2^{(m)}$ of the projective Fermat curve $F_m : X^m + Y^m = Z^m$ so that they corresponds to $Z \neq 0$, $Y \neq 0$ respectively. Namely, $U_1^{(m)}$ (resp. $U_2^{(m)}$) is the affine curve defined by $x^m + y^m = 1$ with $x = X/Z$, $y = Y/Z$ (resp. $x'^m + 1 = z'^m$ with $x' = X/Y$, $z' = Z/Y$). Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{H}^1_{\mathrm{dR}}(F_m, \mathbb{Q}) = \frac{\{(\omega_1, \omega_2, f) \mid \omega_i \in \Omega^1(U_i^{(m)}), f \in \Omega^0(U_1^{(m)} \cap U_2^{(m)}), \omega_1 - \omega_2 = df\}}{\{(df_1, df_2, f_1 - f_2) \mid f_i \in \Omega^0(U_i^{(m)})\}}, \\ &\mathrm{H}^2_{\mathrm{dR}}(F_m, \mathbb{Q}) = \frac{\Omega^1(U_1^{(m)} \cap U_2^{(m)})}{\{\omega_1 - \omega_2 - df \mid \omega_i \in \Omega^1(U_i^{(m)}), f \in \Omega^0(U_1^{(m)} \cap U_2^{(m)})\}}, \\ &(\omega_1, \omega_2, f) \cup (\omega_1', \omega_2', f') = -f'\omega_1 + f\omega_2', \\ &\eta_{\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m}} = \begin{cases} (x^{i-1}y^{j-m}dx, x'^{i-m}z'^{m-i-j-1}dz', 0) & \text{if } i + j < m, \\ (x^{i-1}y^{j-m}dx, \frac{j-m}{i+j-m}x'^{i-m}z'^{2m-i-j-1}dz', \frac{1}{i+j-m}x^i y^{j-m}) & \text{if } i + j > m. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get for i, j with $i + j < m$

$$\eta_{\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m}} \cup \eta_{\frac{m-i}{m},\frac{m-j}{m}} = \tfrac{x^m y^{-m}}{i+j-m} \tfrac{dx}{x} = \iota_m^*(\tfrac{1}{m(i+j-m)} \tfrac{dx}{1-x}).$$

We easily see that $\frac{1}{m(i+j-m)} \frac{dx}{1-x}$ is non-zero in

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{H}^2_{\mathrm{dR}}(F_1, \mathbb{Q}) &= \frac{\Omega^1(U_1^{(1)} \cap U_2^{(1)})}{\{\omega_1 - \omega_2 - df \mid \omega_i \in \Omega^1(U_i^{(1)}), f \in \Omega^0(U_1^{(1)} \cap U_2^{(1)})\}} \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{Q}[x, \frac{1}{x-1}]dx}{\mathbb{Q}[x]dx + \mathbb{Q}[\frac{1}{x-1}]\frac{dx}{(x-1)^2} + d(\mathbb{Q}[x, \frac{1}{x-1}])} \end{aligned}$$

since $\mathrm{Res}_{x=1}\frac{dx}{1-x} \neq 0$. Hence the assertion is clear. $\square$

By Lemma 1 and (8), the monomial relations $\frac{\int_{\gamma_1}\eta_{\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m}}\int_{\gamma_2}\eta_{\frac{m-i}{m},\frac{m-j}{m}}}{2\pi i} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ in Lemma 5 imply the algebraicity of Stark units over the rational number field. Namely, we have the following Corollary.

Corollary 1. (Alg$'$) *for $F=\mathbb{Q}$ holds true. That is, we have*

$$u_{\mathbb{Q}}(\sigma) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \qquad (\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)^+/\mathbb{Q})).$$

By Lemma 4-(ii),Lemma 5 and $\langle\frac{i}{m}+\frac{j}{m}\rangle^{\varepsilon(\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m})}\langle\frac{m-i}{m}+\frac{m-j}{m}\rangle^{\varepsilon(\frac{m-i}{m},\frac{m-j}{m})} = \pm(\frac{i}{m}+\frac{j}{m}-1)$, we can write

$$\frac{\mathfrak{B}(\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m})\mathfrak{B}(\frac{m-i}{m},\frac{m-j}{m})}{(2\pi i)_p} \equiv \left(1-\tfrac{i}{m}-\tfrac{j}{m}\right)^* \frac{B(\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m})B(\frac{m-i}{m},\frac{m-j}{m})}{2\pi i} \bmod \mu_\infty \tag{32}$$

in both cases: $(p,m)=1$ or $p>2$, $p \mid m$, $(p, ij(i+j))=1$. Therefore Lemma 5 and (8) imply not only $B(\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m})B(\frac{m-i}{m},\frac{m-j}{m})\pi^{-1} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, but also $\mathfrak{B}(\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m})\mathfrak{B}(\frac{m-i}{m},\frac{m-j}{m})(2\pi i)_p^{-1} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Finally in this section, we derive (Rec$'$) modμ_∞ in the case of $F=\mathbb{Q}$ from Theorem 3. By Lemma 4-(i) and $\varepsilon(\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m})+\varepsilon(\frac{m-i}{m},\frac{m-j}{m})=1$, we see that Theorem 3 implies

$$\Phi_\tau(\mathfrak{B}(\tfrac{i}{m},\tfrac{j}{m})\mathfrak{B}(\tfrac{m-i}{m},\tfrac{m-j}{m})) \equiv p^{\deg\tau}\mathfrak{B}(\tau(\tfrac{i}{m}),\tau(\tfrac{j}{m}))\mathfrak{B}(\tau(\tfrac{m-i}{m}),\tau(\tfrac{m-j}{m})) \bmod \mu_\infty \quad (\tau \in W_p)$$

in both cases. Besides, we have (32), $\Phi_{\mathrm{cris}}((2\pi i)_p)=p(2\pi i)_p$, and Φ_τ is τ-semi linear, so we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\tau\left(\left(1-\tfrac{i}{m}-\tfrac{j}{m}\right)^* \frac{B(\frac{i}{m},\frac{j}{m})B(\frac{m-i}{m},\frac{m-j}{m})}{\pi}\right)\\ &\equiv \left(1-\tau(\tfrac{i}{m})-\tau(\tfrac{j}{m})\right)^* \frac{B(\tau(\frac{i}{m}),\tau(\frac{j}{m}))B(\tau(\frac{m-i}{m}),\tau(\frac{m-j}{m}))}{\pi} \bmod \mu_\infty \quad (\tau \in W_p).\end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain the desired formula $\tau(u_{\mathbb{Q}}(\sigma)) \equiv u_{\mathbb{Q}}(\tau\sigma) \bmod \mu_\infty$ for $\tau \in W_p$ by (1) and Lemma 1. Since we can vary p and the embedding $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, the same formula is valid for any $\tau \in \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$. Namely,

Corollary 2. (Rec$'$) *for $F=\mathbb{Q}$ holds true up to multiplication by a root of unity. That is, we have*

$$\tau(u_{\mathbb{Q}}(\sigma)) \equiv u_{\mathbb{Q}}(\tau\sigma) \bmod \mu_\infty \qquad (\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)^+/\mathbb{Q}), \tau \in \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})).$$

References

[Ar1] Artin, E., Einführung in die Theorie der Gammafunktion, Teubner, 1931.

[Ar2] Artin, E., The gamma function, Translated by Butler, M., *Athena Series: Selected Topics in Mathematics*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York-Toronto-London 1964.

[BO] Berthelot, P., and Ogus, A., F-isocrystals and de Rham cohomology. I, *Inv. Math.,* **72** (1983), 159-199.

[Co] Coleman, R., On the Frobenius matrices of Fermat curves, p-adic analysis, *Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics,* vol. 1454, 1990, 173-193.

[CM] Coleman, R. and McCallum W., Stable Reduction of Fermat Curves and Local Components of Jacobi Sum Hecke Characters, *J. reine angew. Math.* **385** (1988), 41-101

[dS] de Shalit, E., On monomial relations between p-adic periods, *J. Reine Angew. Math.,* **374** (1987), 193-207.

[FG] Ferrero, B. and Greenberg, R., On the Behavior of p-adic L-Functions at $s = 0$, *Inv. Math.,* **50** (1978), 91-102.

[Gr] Gross, B., On the periods of abelian integrals and a formula of Chowla and Selberg (with an appendix by David E. Rohrlich), *Inv. Math.,* **45** (1978), 193-211.

[GK] Gross, B., Koblitz, N., Gauss Sums and the $\mathfrak{p}$-adic Γ-function, *Ann. of Math., (2)* **109(3)** (1979), 569581.

[Ka1] Kashio, T., On a p-adic analogue of Shintani's formula, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **45** (2005), no. 1, 99-128.

[Ka2] Kashio, T., Stark units, CM-periods and multiple gamma functions, *RIMS Kôkyûroku,* **1659** (2009), "Automorphic representations, automorphic L-functions and arithmetic", 82-95.

[KY1] Kashio, T. and Yoshida, H., On p-adic absolute CM-Periods, I, *American Journal of Mathematics,* vol. 130, no. 6 (2008), 1629-1685.

[KY2] Kashio, T. and Yoshida, H., On p-adic absolute CM-Periods, II, *Arithmetic Algebraic Geometry, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.,* Volume 45, Number 1 (2009), 187-225.

[Mo] Morita, Y., A p-adic analogue of the Γ function, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.* **22** (1975) no. 2, 255-266.

[Og] Ogus, A., A p-adic Analogue of the Chowla-Selberg Formula, p-adic analysis, *Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics,* vol. 1454, 1990, 319-341.

[Ot] Otsubo, N., On the regulator of Fermat motives and generalized hypergeometric functions, *J. Reine Angew. Math.,* 660 (2011), 27-82.

[Shim] Shimura, G., Abelian varieties with complex multiplication and modular functions, *Princeton Mathematical Series* **46** (1998), Princeton University Press.

[Shin] Shintani, T., On values at $s = 1$ of certain L functions of totally real algebraic number fields, *Algebraic Number Theory, Proc. International Sympos., Kyoto, 1976,* Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1977, 201-212.

[Yo] Yoshida, H., Absolute CM-Periods, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs,* vol. 106, 2003, AMS.